

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

**In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Master File No. 07-5944 SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

All Indirect Purchaser Actions;

Best Buy Co., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05513;

Best Buy Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05264;

Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v. Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-05262;

Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture tubes, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05514;

Sharp Electronics Corp. v. Hitachi, Ltd., No. 13-cv-01173;

Sharp Electronics Corp. v. Koninklijke Philips Electronics, N.V., et al., No. 13-cv-02776;

Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05502;

Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261;

Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-05514;

Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05686;

Viewsonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., No. 14-cv-02510.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING DIRECT ACTION
PLAINTIFFS'
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
SEAL PORTIONS OF THEIR
RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN
LIMINE # 5 PURSUANT TO
CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND
79-5(D)**

1 The Court has considered Direct Action Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Seal
2 Portions of Their Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine # 5 Pursuant to Civil
3 Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d):

- 4 • The highlighted portions of Direct Action Plaintiffs' Opposition.

5 Having read and considered the papers filed and arguments made by counsel, if any, IT
6 IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of Their
7 Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine # 5 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11
8 and 79-5(d) is hereby GRANTED.
9

10
11 Dated: _____, 2015

12 _____
13 HON. SAMUEL CONTI
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26